NATIONAL EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE CONFERENCE – EBP BLINDED ABSTRACT

Title (max 100 characters): Evidenced-Based Urine Collection to Reduce Specimen Contamination

Purpose and Rationale: Improperly collected urine specimens lead to contamination, inaccurate results, repeated tests, increased costs, delayed procedures, and patient anxiety. The purpose of this evidence-based practice (EBP) project was to reduce midstream urine contamination rates in a urology clinic at a large academic medical center, following The Iowa Model (Iowa Model Collaborative, 2017).

Synthesis of Evidence: Urine culture contamination is reduced with patient instruction, labial spread/foreskin retraction, and midstream collection (Blake & Doherty, 2006; Holm & Aabenhus, 2016; Schneeberger et al., 2013; Shrestha, Gyawali, Gurung, Amatya, & Bhattacharya, 2013; Unlü, Sardan, & Ulker, 2007). Cleansing has mixed support (Baron et al., 2013; Dolan & Cornish, 2013; Gupta et al., 2011). One systematic review highlights benefit from labial spread/foreskin retraction and midstream collection, with lack of benefit from cleansing for adults (LaRocco et al., 2016). Cleansing makes the procedure more complex, without an established benefit.

Practice Change: Immediate and proper sterile technique for urine transfer into the preservative container was the first change. Assessment of patient's ability to properly collect a specimen (e.g., physical and intellectual limitations) was added since this may contribute to a patient's need for assistance. Patient education, including diagrams with written instructions in English or Spanish, emphasizes proper collection technique and the impact of contamination on their plan of care.

Implementation Strategies: Key elements of a multifaceted implementation plan (Cullen & Adams, 2012) included staff education and reinforcement highlighting the crucial need for detailed patient teaching using a patient decision aid to describe critical steps in the procedure. The policy was updated. Clinician competencies standardized training. Shelves were installed in patient bathrooms. Non-sterile "hats" and urinals were eliminated with a "hang up the hat" slogan. Leadership role modeling and troubleshooting were essential. Trended data with data feedback included actionable steps to improve outcomes.

Evaluation: Pilot evaluation included a 13-item questionnaire addressing knowledge, perceptions, and practices for urine collection. Systemwide pre-data (n=485 registered nurses, medical assistants, nursing assistants, and others) demonstrated correct knowledge about cleansing method (19.7%), rationale for cleansing (14.2%), and instruction on labial spread/foreskin retraction (42%). Clinicians report importance of topic (mean 3.42; 1-4 Likert scale), feeling knowledgeable (3.05), ease of transfer to tube (3.31), and enough time for patient instruction (3.04). The Urology Clinic created a significant decrease in contamination from pre- to post-implementation, 26.5% to 13.3%, below the 15% benchmark (Bekeris, Jones, Walsh, & Wagar, 2008).

Conclusions and Implications for Practice: Patients find the procedure difficult and assistance may be required. Having shelves available helps patients handle the container. Clinicians must use good technique when handling the specimen to reduce contamination risk.

References

- Baron, E. J., Miller, J. M., Weinstein, M. P., Richter, S. S., Gilligan, P. H., Thomson, R. B., . . . et al., Pritt, B. S. (2013). A guide to utilization of the microbiology laboratory for diagnosis of infectious diseases: 2013 recommendations by the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and the American Society for Microbiology (ASM). *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 57(4), e22-e121. doi:10.1093/cid/cit278
- Bekeris, L. G., Jones, B. A., Walsh, M. K., & Wagar, E. A. (2008). Urine culture contamination: A College of American Pathologists Q-Probes study of 127 laboratories. *Archives of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine*, 132(6), 913-917.
- Blake, D. R., & Doherty, L. F. (2006). Effect of perineal cleansing on contamination rate of mid-stream urine culture. *Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology*, 19(1), 31-34. doi:10.1016/j.jpag.2005.11.003
- Cullen, L., & Adams, S. L. (2012). Planning for implementation of evidence-based practice. *Journal of Nursing Administration*, 42(4), 222-230. doi:10.1097/NNA.0b013e31824ccd0a
- Dolan, V. J., & Cornish, N. E. (2013). Urine specimen collection: How a multidisciplinary team improved patient outcomes using best practices. *Urology Nursing*, 33(5), 249-256.
- Gupta, K., Hooton, T. M., Naber, K. G., Wullt, B., Colgan, R., Miller, L. G., . . . European Society for Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. (2011). International clinical practice guidelines for the treatment of acute uncomplicated cystitis and pyelonephritis in women: A 2010 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the European Society for Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 52(5), e103–e120. doi:10.1093/cid/ciq257
- Holm, A., & Aabenhus, R. (2016). Urine sampling techniques in symptomatic primary-care patients: A diagnostic accuracy review. *BMC Family Practice*, 17: 72. doi:10.1186/s12875-016-0465-4
- Iowa Model Collaborative. (2017). Iowa Model of Evidence-Based Practice: Revisions and validation. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing*, 14(3), 175-182. doi:10.1111/wvn.12223
- LaRocco, M. T., Franek, J., Leiback, E. K., Weissfeld, A. S., Kraft, C. S., Sautter, R. L., . . . Cornish, N. E. (2016). Effectiveness of preanalytic practices on contamination and diagnostic accuracy of urine cultures: A laboratory medicine best practices systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 29(1), 105-147. doi:10.1128/CMR.00030-15
- Schneeberger, C., van den Heuvel, E. R., Erwich, J. J., Stolk, R. P., Visser, C. E., & Geerlings, S. E. (2013). Contamination rates of three urine-sampling methods to assess bacteruria in pregnant women. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 121(2 Pt 1), 299-305. doi:10.1097/AOG.0b013e31827e8cfe
- Shrestha, R., Gyawali, N., Gurung, R., Amatya, R., & Bhattacharya, S. K. (2013). Effect of urogenital cleaning with paper soap on bacterial contamination rate while collecting midstream urine specimens. *Journal of Laboratory Physicians*, 5(1), 17-20. doi:10.4103/0974-2727.115910
- Unlü, H., Sardan, Y. C., & Ulker, S. (2007). Comparison of sampling methods for urine cultures. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 39(4), 325-329. doi:10.1111/j.1547-5069.2007.00188.x